

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,918	04/08/2004	Brian C. Case	1-37214	8766
	7590 11/30/200 DDING & ROGERS, P	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 163	70	PREBILIC, PAUL B		
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	H	4	
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/820,918	CASE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Paul B. Prebilic	3774	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addre	:ss
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) I e, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				·
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S	eptember 2007.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowarelosed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	·	•	erits įs
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16,30 and 31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-9,12,15,30 and 31 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,10,11,13,14 and 16 is/are rejecte Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.	
Applicat	on Papers	<i>y</i>		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
11)⊠	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	*	` '
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Sta	ıge
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies r	lot received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infon	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	

Election/Restrictions

Claims 4-9, 12, 15, and 30-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 31, 2007.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The full name of each inventor (family name and at least one given name together with any initial) has not been set forth. In particular, the supplemental declaration filed September 27, 2007 is defective because it does not identify the other inventors; see MPEP 603 that is incorporated herein by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In particular, claim 14 appears to be claiming the patient in combination with the device; the Examiner suggests replacing "is implanted" with ----is adapted to be implanted—— in order to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/820,918

Art Unit: 3774

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pavcnik et al (WO 99/62431). Pavcnik anticipates the claim language where:

- the frame as claimed is the frame (11) of Pavcnik (see Figures 12 to 17); the first portion as claimed is the straight portion of the frame (11), and the second and third portions as claimed are the coil bends (12) on either side thereof; the widths of the second and third portions are greater due to the overlapping of the wire;
- the graft as claimed is the covering (57); see Figure 15;
- the attachment element as claimed is the suture (50); see page 7, line 30
 to page 9, line 7.

With regard to claims 13 and 14, the Applicants are directed to page 8, lines 7-9 of Pavcnik.

With regard to claim 16, the angle as claimed can be zero degrees and still fall within the scope of the claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 27, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the second or third portion of Pavcnik is a double thickness portion not a "width" as defined in the specification. However, upon review of

Page 4

the explanation of "width" in the specification, the Examiner asserts that it does not constitute a special definition because it is not clear, deliberate, and precise. Rather, the explanation is merely an exemplification in that is states that "width' refers to any dimension of a strut other than length, and includes " (emphasis added). Furthermore, even if one were to consider "width" as having a special definition, the Examiner asserts that the doubled wire or coil of Pavcnik falls within the definition thereof. In other words, there is nothing in the definition that precludes a doubled wire or coil, but rather, it appears to include such structures by the statement "any dimension of the strut other than length."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3774

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Prebilic/ Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3774